

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates. The newspaper/broadcast

cross-ownership cap is a crucial element of our democratic media, and it should not be weakened.

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates. The newspaper/broadcast cross-ownership cap is a crucial element of our democratic media, and it should not be weakened.

I consider the "video" news media the most error prone of all media. If you can point a camera at it and get good pictures, then they do well. Outside of that arena I often have problems with their views.

The separate of electronic and print media is very important to me. The idea of it all being controlled from a single arena, with definite agendas, bothers me greatly. America was founded on diversity, and diversity of the little people poking big ones has been a source of many good things.

Basically put, I do not trust the video news media to keep the same levels of diversity in news print. If nothing else, we need independent views of each other to track abuses and other strangeness in each other. If all news in an area comes from one family, they will be very good at hiding the dirty laundry of themselves and their friends from the public. Considering who some of their "friends" are, this can be very disconcerting.